

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,142	10/22/2001	Ali J. Tabatabai	80398.P433	7456	
•	7590 01/10/2008		· EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & EAD PARKWAY	ZAFMAN			
	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	PAPER NUMBER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/038,142	1 ''	TABATABAI ET AL.			
(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Greg Bengzon	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			addrass			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>04 September 2007</u> is defective 41.37.						
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file and 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRAM	ne mailing date of this I	Notification, whichever is lo	ee MPEP onger.			
The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	nder 37 CFR 41.37(c),	or the items are not under	the proper			
2. The brief does not contain a statement of the st canceled), or does not identify the appealed cla	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. At least one amendment has been filed subseq statement of the status of each such amendme	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. (a) The brief does not contain a concise explancial claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specific by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fail appeal and for each dependent claim argued seasons. U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set fas corresponding to each claimed function with the drawings, if any, by reference characters (3)	pecification by page and secification by page and second to the second the second the structure, mate reference to the specification.	d line number and to the d ch independent claim invo plus function and step plu erial, or acts described in the	Irawings, if any, Ived in the us function under he specification			
5. The brief does not contain a concise statement 41.37(c)(1)(vi))	of each ground of rejec	ction presented for review	(37 CFR			
6. The brief does not present an argument under a 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).	separate heading for ea	ach ground of rejection on	appeal (37 CFR			
7. The brief does not contain a correct copy of the 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).	appealed claims as an	appendix thereto (37 CFF	₹ .			
other evidence entered by the examiner and re	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. The brief does not contain copies of the decision identified in the Related Appeals and Interference 41.37(c)(1)(x)).	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR $41.37(c)(1)(x)$).					
10.⊠ Other (including any explanation in support of the	e above items):					
In the Appeal Brief the appellant cites the FINAL action	dated Feb. 21, 2007. H	lowever, the arounds for rejec	ction cited in the			
Appeal Brief are NOT correct, since they are from the Non-Final since the Examiner used new grounds for rejection in the Final	dated 09/18/2006. The	grounds for rejection are com	npletely different			

since the Examiner used new grounds for rejection in the Final rejection. Furt Appeal Brief are NOT directed towards the prior art used in the Final rejection.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100